



SW:imp 2/27/06 P0337

PATENT

AF  
EFW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Brundage et al.

Application No.: 09/833,013

Filed: April 10, 2001

For: DIGITALLY WATERMARKED MAPS  
AND SIGNS AND RELATED  
NAVIGATIONAL TOOLS

Examiner: P. Parthasarathy

Date: February 27, 2006

Response Under 37 CFR § 1.116

Expedited Procedure

Art Unit: 2136

Conf. No.: 8369

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this paper and the documents referred to as being attached or enclosed herewith are being deposited with the United States Postal Service on February 27, 2006, as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: MAIL STOP AF, COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450.

St SL

Steven W. Stewart  
Attorney for Applicant

**NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM THE PRIMARY EXAMINER TO THE BOARD  
OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES**

MAIL STOP AF  
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicant hereby appeals to the Board from the decision of the Primary Examiner mailed November 25, 2005, finally rejecting claims 7 – 29. (Fee of \$500.00)

If any extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

Please charge \$500.00 (fee for Notice of Appeal) and any additional fees which may be required in connection with the filing of these papers or credit any over-payment, to Deposit Account No. 50-1071.

Date: February 27, 2006

**CUSTOMER NUMBER 23735**

Phone: 503-469-4800  
FAX 503-469-4777

Respectfully submitted,

DIGIMARC CORPORATION

By

St SL

Steven W. Stewart  
Registration No. 45,133

03/02/2006 MBIZUNES 00000026 501071 09833013  
01 FC:1401 -500.00 DA

routing information is used for identifying a subscriber database in which the second routing information and the cellular identity is stored. In contrast thereto, due to the interworking principle suggested by Kulkarni et al., the first subscriber database accessed with the first routing information is always the same subscriber database of the IS-41-to-GSM roaming proxy (IGP). Consequently, the first routing information is not used for identifying the IGP, but only for accessing it. Thereby, the claimed subject matter should be sufficiently distinguished from the prior art described in Kulkarni et al.

Support for the amendment to claim 1 is on page 4, paragraph [0046], line 13 of the US publication of the present application.

Claims 2 and 7 were amended to include "of using said first routing information".

The abbreviation "IMS" of claim 4 has been clarified by introducing the wording: "wherein said overlay identity is an Internet Protocol Multimedia Subsystem (IMS) identity allocated in an Internet Protocol Multimedia Subsystem network".


Applicant should appreciate the Examiner's consideration as soon as possible, and the Examiner's advisory action so that Applicants can determine whether they should proceed under the pre-Appeal Brief Conference.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the amount of \$720 for Request for Continued Examination, including any addition fee(s) that may be necessary with this communication, or credit any overpayment to **Deposit Account No. 10-0100 (NOKIA.1018US)**.

Respectfully Submitted

February 28, 2006

Date



J. Harold Nissen, Esq.

Reg. No. 17,283

Lackenbach Siegel LLP

One Chase Road

Scarsdale, NY 10583

Telephone: (914) 723-0278

Telefax: (914) 723-4301